A checklist for HTA reports

It is NOT intended as a scorecard to rate the standard of HTA reports – reports may be valid and useful without meeting all the criteria that have been listed.

An introduction, background, objective, context of material in the HTA checklist, etc is available at www.inahta.org/HTA/Checklist.

Reference of report	Paz-Valiñas, Lucinda. Bugarín González, Rosendo. [Hydrogen breath test and other alternatives for diagnosing lactose intolerance]. Santiago de Compostela: Agencia Gallega para la Gestión del Conocimiento en Salud (ACIS). Unidad de Asesoramiento Científico-técnico (avalia-t) 2017. Report No.: CT2017/03. Available from: https://avalia-t.sergas.gal/DXerais/735/CT201703TestLactosa.pdf
Organization (acronym)	Avalia-t
Name of person completing the checklist	Lucinda Paz Valiñas
Email	Avalia-t@sergas.es

ltem						Yes	Partly	No		
Preliminary										
1. Appropriate contact details for further information?							Х			
2. Authors identified?							Х			
3. Statement regarding conflict of interest?							Х			
4. Statement on whether report externally reviewed?							Х			
5. Short summary in non-technical language?									Х	
Why?										
6. Reference to the policy question that is addressed?							Х			
7. Reference to the research question that is addressed?							Х			
8. Scope of the assessment specified?							Х			
9. Description of the assessed health technology?							Х			
How?										
10. Details on sc	ources of	f informati	on and literature	search	n strategies p	provide	ed?			
Search strategy	Databases		Year range		anguage estriction	F	Primary data	info	Other kind of information resources	
YES	YES		Not limited- 2017		NO		YES			
Complete referent of included sturn YES		exclu	List of uded studies YES		Inclusion criteria YES			Exclusion criteria YES		
11. Information of	n basis	for the as	sessment and ir	terpret	ation of sele	cted d	ata and i	informatio	า?	
		Critical appraisal method (for quality assessment of the literature) described?		Method of data synthesis described? YES		Results of the assessment clearly presented, eg, in the form of evidence tables? YES				
YES					IES			169		
Context?										
12. Information o								hor porce	- otivoo	
(Medico-) legal implications considered? NO	cations analysis implications interview interv		ons	Social implications considered? NO		(stak	Other perspectives (stakeholders, patients, consumers) considered? NO			
		NO	NO				Voc		No	
What then?							Yes	Partly	No	

13. Findings of the assessment discussed?	Х	
14. Conclusions from assessment clearly stated?	Х	
15. Suggestions for further action?	Х	